

Intelligent design is strict verboten!

In the fascinating documentary *Expelled*, now on dvd, leave be Stein to see that darwinisme are an intellectual intolerantie, where still more terrible moral and political misfortune follows from. Angry zit deepest rooted to the universities. evolution critics are continued and are disciplined.

Henk Rijkers

the battle for evolution is only to a clash in a much larger war, says Sir Richard Dawkins. The war is refined conducted, recognises darwiniste Eugenie Scott, in the US head of the national centre for scientific education (NSCE): the most important group where we cooperate, is believers. Because best keep secret in this controversie is that ordinary catholics and Protestants oké are if it concerns evolution. Dawkins have lake to that strategy: the evolution entrance hall exists mainly from atheists, but want be that terribly gladly nice against the ordinary believers. That can do you best their insuring that there is no irreconcilability between science and religion. sarcastically: they call me then as getuige. But if a lawyer would ask me if my study has me overgehaald to atheism, I would have answer yes. in fact are the evolution entrance hall therefore not at all this way glad with Dawkins, which boasts itself in this interview a lot honester to be than many other darwinisten.


Vopos

A revealing and disconcerting documentary. That is *Expelled. No Intelligence Allowed*, in the US the most successful documentary of the year, which is now also on dvd available (in Europe as Blu-ray, but unfortunately not yet as ordinary dvd with Dutch subtitling and region code). Be Stein makes in the documentary a rondje along the head pieces of the darwinisme and along their victims: people whose career is devastated, because they expressed scientific doubts. Centrally in the documentary the idea stands that the ideological wall which is in Berlin gesloopt in the university still right stands intact. As soon as you venture yourself mentally outside the Iron Curtain of the scientific materialism, the vopo fire s of all sides on your: science use simply none of the hypothese of god, say to Daniel Dennett, darwinistische the philosopher. think. Has intelligent design given us? Nothing!, put evolution biologist P.Z. Myers. they will accept never that we have better arguments. It is ridiculously and we waste our time, chemist thinks godfather Atkins.

intellectual terrorist

These lords, authoritative on their fields, are entitled to their own opinion. But the right prohibit other. America no America be without freedom, calls be Stein from. What if this freedom is removed? Stein: unfortunately need themselves I that no longer to proposal. It happens already. We are our freedom for losing on of the most important areas of the society, which of science. I have always adopted that it science vrijstaat each question to

Photograph s: Premise mediums Corporation



Richard Dawkins (above) against are Stein (above): Darwinisme deliver you of god.

ask, each line of research to follow without fear for punish. But I have discovered that this case is not. Richard Sternberg published them as an editor of a prestigious scientific illustrated magazine Article of science philosopher C. Meyer. fire storm followed because Article suggested that *intelligent design* (ID) *could a* scientific answer be. Sternberg: the doubt to the darwinisme was for many already a bridge too far, but the indication of ID on the end of Article was absolutely the drop. according to him have to people of ID a serial important ask raised. I want that has on table. I want it scientific proof follow, where it leads also gone. in place Sternberg were of it considered as an intellectual terrorist, because I submit ID to a zest credibility had granted. The fascinating super intellectual David Berlinski even states that to the academy ID *strict verboten!* are.

you must become disciplined

Provocation

Sternberg none has been isolated case. cell biologist and popular docente Caroline Crocker made an indication of ID in its colleges to George Mason University. my superior called me at itself: you must disciplined become because you creationisme teach. its response was: do not do that I, I the term ID a couple has only called time. nevertheless must be disciplined you. at the end of the semester were they not only its job lost, she could find also elsewhere none new more: them stood on a black list. The brilliant neuro-surgeon Michael Egmor thinks that you if doctor must no study evolution. You have to more to engineers and technique the human understand body. Darwinisten went immediately in the attack. Egmor: what astonishes me are the nastiness and lowness of it. Professor Robert J. Marks was tackled by its university Baylor University, because one a link discovered between its research and ID. According to top astronomer Guillermo Gonzalez the words *intelligent design* under academicians *fighting words*, straight provocations are. In spite of authoritative work that led to the discovery of several new planets, Gonzalez were dismissed Iowa State University. Gonzalez wrote, as it happens, a best-seller, which explains the cosmological arguments for ID: *The Privileged Planet*.


Clothing of emperor

What is there this way nevertheless wrong to ID? On that question darwinisten have no substantive answer. ID are to pure propaganda, say Daniel Dennett. ID-mensen are no real scientists, denounce Dawkins. it is very stupid. The last we can what use, says Michael Ruse to evolution philosopher. ID block the intellectual increase, think godfather Atkins. Tragially-comic acting ex-katholieke the evolution biologist Will Provine is. The man teased by cancer takes off darwinistisch a belief deposition with the death for eyes. Its most important argument against ID? it is this way incredible insipid! how a scientific movement can stock as ID, with kantoortje smaller than KN-redactie, then worldwide this way? Bruce Chapman, director of the Discovery Institute in Seattle: we are the little boy that call that the emperor carries no clothing. but nobody nevertheless fight that there debate can be? Chapman: Darwinisten do that certainly, however. The debate is beyond. The matter has been determined. but the best summary give be Stein themselves. The scientific establishment exists from people who want the science in a small case keep, so that she cannot touch to something what higher is than themselves.

the doubt to the darwinisme was for many a bridge too far

Mein Kampf

The observation of P.Z. Myers that larger scientific literacy religion automatically, however, will lead undermine, Stein to the question which societies have used the darwinisme already for other authorities, such as religion, finished at trump cards. at me as a Jew go the ideas then automatically in the direction of a certain regime. David Berlinski put: the connection between Hitler and Darwin is historical. if you *Mein Kampf* open, splash the agreements between darwinistische and Nazi ideas simply of the pages. Richard Weikart, specialised historian in this area: for Hitler were the war himself component of darwinistische the survival fight. And he considered the eradication of the Jews as of the fronts. Hitler praised that he proved a service to humanity by floating the evolution this way ahead. as darwinisme are used to rationalise such crime in the past, it the same day will have been thus this way used are able? Berlinski: it starts always in the same way: Darwinisme reach splendid scientific argument to assassinate people, for example within the framework of failure or euthanasia. Means this that every darwinist are (crypto-) a Nazi? Berlinski: of course not. Nobody claims that also. Darwinisme were no sufficient cause for the Nazism. But was necessary.



Risky: www.katholieknieuwsblad.nl/show.php?art=kn2546f



print



email



post op nuJij

**IK WIL EEN
PROEFABONNEMENT!**



© 2008 catholic Nieuwsblad

Nothing of this expenditure can be again spent in which form thus without preceding written authorisation of the editor.